

Architect's Field Report

201 Park Court, Suite B
Ridgeland, MS 39157
P 601.790.9432
F 888.281.0547

28 May 2020

18057-Warrenton Elementary / Report Number 001

One Jackson Place, Suite 250
188 East Capitol Street
Jackson, MS 39201-2100
P 601.352.5411
F 601.352.5362

Contract KT Builder
Date / Time 27 May 2020 / 1:45 PM
Weather / Temp Clear / 81 ° F
Est % Complete 0%
Sched Conformance + 00 days
Work in Progress Interior Demo

161 Lameuse Street, Suite 201
Biloxi, MS 39530
P 228.374.1409
F 228.374.1414

Present at Site Hunter McNeer, KT Builder
Paul Purser, DBA

Observations Arriving on site, I met Hunter McNeer, KT, in the main hallway. We discussed some painting changes requested by the client which removes painting from a large portion on the 300 wing. We reviewed the wing and would like to recommend at least painting the doors in the rooms that are marked for no painting.

We also reviewed the location for the new ADA ramp to the outside classroom between the 300 and 200 buildings. There is not 7'-0" as required for the ramp between exterior columns and the outside building. I suggested that if the contractor had to build the ramp and notch around a column, that it would be fine as long as we have 32" between column and inner handrail. This would keep that ramp at 7'-0" in width but move it onto the existing concrete walk.

Items to Verify None
Action Required None

Report By:

Paul Purser, AIA, LEED AP
Dale | Bailey, an Association

cc: Hunter McNeer / Walt Swider (KT)
Chad Shealy / Shaquita Burke / Christi Kilroy (VWSD)
Gary Bailey / Jason Stewart / Mark Pipper (BPM)
Russ Blount / Ebony Batchelor / Candy Failor (DBA)
Jason Kackley / Al Guynes (GSK)
Chris Green / Larry Scott (TPS)



Figure 1 – Dumpster On Site



Figure 2 – Contractor On Site (First Day)



Figure 3 – Floor Base Removal Ongoing



Figure 4 – Floor Base Removal Ongoing



Figure 5 – Doors in Rooms Requested to Forego Painting Show Much Damage



Figure 6 – Blue Tape on door to indicate No Paint in Room (typical in 300 wing)



Figure 7 – Conduit inside of footprint of new ADA Ramp; should re route be overhead?



Figure 8 – Conduit inside of footprint of new ADA Ramp; should re route be overhead?